

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/942,310	09/942,310 08/29/2001		Carl Risinger	524592001900	7722
25225	7590	07/01/2003			
		ERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE SUITE 500				JOHANNSEN, DIANA B	
SAN DIEG	O, CA 92	CA 92130-2332		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1634	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/942,310	RISINGER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Diana B. Johannsen	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		MITH(S) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	11 April 2003 .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	der <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 9-16</u> is/are pending in the a			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 9-16</u> are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in App	olication No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p         application from the International     </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the period of the certified copies of the period of</li></ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			
Attachment(s)		-	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

## **ELECTION/RESTRICTION**

1. The Amendment and Response filed January 17, 2003 and the Supplemental Response filed April 11, 2003 have been entered. Claims 2-8 have been canceled and claims 9-16 have been added. In view of Applicant's amendments to the claims, and upon further consideration, the Restriction requirement of December 17, 2002 is withdrawn, and an election is required as set forth below.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the 8 different combinations of polymorphisms set forth in step b of claim 1, and set forth again (one combination per claim) in dependent claims 9-16.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/942,310

Oomao Hambor: 00/0 12,01

Art Unit: 1634

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diana B. Johannsen whose telephone number is 703/305-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached at 703/308-1152. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/942,310

Art Unit: 1634

Page 4

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9306 for regular communications and 703/872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0196.

Diana B. Johannsen

June 30, 2003